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Author's Guide

_WPA: Writing Program Administration_ publishes articles and essays concerning the organization, administration, practices, and aims of college and university writing programs. Possible topics include the education and support of writing teachers; the intellectual and administrative work of WPAs; the situation of writing programs within both academic institutions and broader contexts; the programmatic implications of current theories, technologies, and research; relationships between WPAs and other administrators and between writing and other academic programs; placement; assessment; and the professional status of WPAs.

The previous list is meant to be suggestive, not exhaustive, but contributions must be appropriate to the interests and concerns of those who administer writing programs. The editors welcome empirical research (quantitative as well as qualitative), historical research, and theoretical, essayistic, or reflective pieces.

The length of submissions should be approximately 2,000 to 5,000 words, although the journal occasionally will publish shorter or longer pieces when the subject matter warrants. Articles should be suitably documented using the current MLA Style Manual. For citations of Internet resources, use the _Columbia Guide to Online Style_. Please submit three copies of manuscripts, with the author identified only on a separate cover letter. Include a self-addressed stamped envelope if you would like a copy returned. Submissions are anonymously reviewed by the Editorial Board. The editors aspire to respond within three months after the receipt of the submission.

Authors whose works are accepted for publication will be asked to submit final versions in both print and electronic form, following a style sheet that will be provided. Please double-check all citations. Articles should be saved on 3.5 inch disks as rich text format files (files using the extension .rtf) or as MS Word files (using the .doc file extension). Tables should be saved in the program in which they were produced; authors should indicate program type on the disk. Illustrations should be submitted as print-ready copy in electronic format. Authors will also be asked to submit a 100-word biography for inclusion in the “Contributors” section of the journal.

Reviews

_WPA_ publishes reviews of books related to writing programs and their administration. Publishers are invited to send appropriate professional books to David Blakesley, who assigns reviews.
ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADVERTISING

Relevant announcements and calls for papers will be published as space permits. Send them in electronic format to David Blakesley (blakesle@purdue.edu) by October 15 (for the Fall/Winter issue) or March 15 (for the Spring issue). Advertisers should contact David Blakesley for deadlines, publication rates, and specifications.

ADDRESSES

Address articles and editorial correspondence to Dennis Lynch, Editor, WPA, Humanities, Michigan Technological University, 1400 Townsend Drive, Houghton, MI 49931. Email: dalynch@mtu.edu.

Address advertising and production questions and book reviews to David Blakesley, Production Editor, WPA, Department of English, Purdue University, 500 Oval Drive, West Lafayette, IN 47907. Email: blakesle@purdue.edu. Fax: (765) 494-3780.

NEW WPA EDITORIAL TEAM FOR 2004

In 2004, a new editorial team from Arizona State University will take over the editing and production of WPA: Writing Program Administration. The new Managing Editors are Gregory Glau (gglau@asu.edu), Barry Maid (barry.maid@asu.edu), and Duane Roen (duane.roen@asu.edu). Please address all new submission questions to Gregory Glau (gglau@asu.edu). Manuscripts may be submitted for future issues in Word or RTF format as email attachments. Submission questions should now be directed to Gregory Glau, Co-Editor, WPA, Dept. of English, PO Box 870302, Tempe, AZ 85287-0302; Email: gglau@asu.edu.
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Letter from the Editors

This is the second to last issue of *WPA: Writing Program Administration* that David and I will put together for you. As you probably know, we are in the process of handing over the editorship to a highly qualified team of editors, mostly from Arizona State University. (For more information please see the announcement in this issue.) The final two issues obviously feel special to us, but we are not in a position, emotionally or otherwise, to determine just how special they are. We do know we have assembled the work of some fine people in our field. Here is a quick look at what you will find in this issue.

Rebecca Howard returns to the question of how to change outside perceptions of what we do in our writing programs and argues that we now have a new tool, “multimedia rhetoric,” to aid us in our efforts. Stopping short of branding WPAs as logocentric, Howard reminds us that persuasion has an irreducible emotional component—something we tend to forget when preparing arguments in support of our programs. She argues that the visual component of multimedia introduces an emotional edge that can be quite effective when addressing, in her case, boards, deans, associate deans, and she not only makes a compelling case for using multimedia on such occasions but she offers sound advice regarding how to put multimedia presentations together.

Katherine Latterell broaches the vexed question of using graduate students in administrative roles, not in order to answer that question, but to encourage us to think about how our ways of working with graduate student WPAs reflect—or don’t—our administrative philosophies. She first schematizes three ways graduate students operate as WPAs, as “liaison,” “assistant,” and “co-policy maker,” again, not in order to argue for one over the other, but to make these relations more visible and to encourage us to treat these relations in “more dynamic and responsive” ways.

Mary Juzwik examines the ways two different teachers operated within a prescribed curriculum and argues the what happened was less a matter of “implementation” than of “negotiation.” Using de Certeau for guidance, Juzwik shows us that what WPAs tend to see as resistance to their programmatic strategies might be seen more productively as creative, tactical appro-
Appropriations. Her main concern is to reveal the process of appropriation in all its complexity, as a step on the way to rethinking the relationship between instructors and the programs they work in. The details of the two case-studies provide a glimpse into a process we sometimes oversimplify in passing, and WPAs will find the implications she draws for teacher training and program design highly useful.

Jackie Grutsch McKinney and Elizabeth Chiseri-Strater used a broad range of material gathered from a teaching seminar for graduate students in order to test again the value of the teaching journal as part of GTA professional development. Theirs as they tell us is a cautionary tale, of sorts, because they learned that the journals by themselves do not tell the whole story and thus can be misleading taken out of context. What they discovered—and what WPAs may find particularly useful—is a schema of “five narrative strands” that illustrate different aspects of composing “a teacherly identity.” It is commonplace to note that new teachers must find their style, but McKinney and Chiseri-Strater delve deeper into the complex stories teachers use to explain themselves to themselves as they develop professionally.

Martha Patton returns to the question of how effective faculty who teach writing intensive courses are with their responses to student writing (and, by implication, how effective the WAC program at the University of Missouri-Columbia is in this regard). Based on her survey of WI faculty, Patton discovered a number of trends—for instance, that senior faculty are more likely to assign fewer but longer papers than are assistant professors—that WPAs can use when planning workshops and offering other kinds of WAC support. She also confirms the general belief that even though there are many different ways of commenting effectively on papers, WPAs need to continue to push WI faculty to use more “context- and audience-specific criteria” in their responses to student work.

We again wish you happy and productive reading.

—Dennis Lynch
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